Non-Adherence to the Guideline Recommended Pharmacologic Therapy in Patients with Reduced Left Ventricle (LV) Ejection Fraction (HFrEF)

Dr. Ateeb Mahmood Khan*, Aijaz Ali Kaleri**, Dr. Nehrish Patel*, Naeem Ullah Khan*, Dr Faizan Abdul Aziz Wallam*, Tahir Hussain***, Dr. Asadullah Kundi****

*National Institute of Cardiovascular Disease Karachi-Pakistan

**National Institute of Child Health (NICH)

*** Hamdard Institute of Management Sciences Karachi-Pakistan

**** Indus Hospital and Health Network

Abstract-

The aim of the present study was to ascertain how closely heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) adhere to the pharmaceutical therapy that is advised by guidelines. Total 137 patients were taken for the proposed research study. According to the Morisky medication adherence score, 36.5% of the participants in our study were found to have insufficient adherence. Age (elderly), cognitive impairment, the use of multiple medications and monthly income were significant contributors to non-adherence. Every piece of data was acquired using a pre-made proforma. Patients were asked questions on their drug adherence using the eight-question Morisky tool. Following that, it was divided into two categories: appropriate adherence (score 6 or above) and insufficient compliance (score 5 or below). In patients with heart failure, satisfactory adherence to the pharmaceutical therapy prescribed by guidelines was noted in 63.5 percent of cases. 63.5 percent of participants in this study were found to have good adherence to their prescription regimens (Morisky score of 6 or above). Nonetheless, it was noted that 36.5 percent of the HFrEF patients in our study had inadequate adherence. Increasing drug adherence requires regular patient counselling and the care they receive.

Keywords: pharmaceutical therapy adherence by guidelines, heart failure, and drug adherence.

I. INTRODUCTION

Ventricular dysfunction is a condition associated with heart failure (HF). Both ventricles may be affected simultaneously or independently; left ventricular (LV) failure results in exhaustion and dyspnea, whereas right ventricular (RV) failure results in a buildup of fluid in the abdomen and peripheral tissues (Kocabaş et al., 2020). Echocardiography, plasma natriuretic peptides levels, and chest Xrays confirm the first clinical diagnosis (Kocabaş et al., 2023). According to Lawson et al. (2016), treatment options include specialized implantable pacemakers/defibrillators as well as additional components, diuretic medications, angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibiting agents, anticoagulants, angiotensin II receptor blockers, beta-blocking medications aldosterone inhibitors, sodium-glucose cotransporter-2 inhibiting agents, neprilysin inhibitors, sinus node inhibiting agents, and adjustment of the causes of heart failure syndrome. Cardiac-related rise of arterial or systemic arterial pressures might result in organ obstruction in heart failure, and the cardiac system may not pump enough blood to tissues

for their metabolic needs. Defects in either or both of the systolic or diastolic functions might cause this illness. There are variations in the external matrix's collagen production in addition to alterations in cardiac function, which may be the underlying aberration (Hood et al., 2018; Ruppar et al., 2016; Ekman et al., 2017). Heart failure (HF) can also be brought on by cardiac anatomical defects (such as congenital malformations or valvular illnesses), irregular rhythms (such as an abnormally fast heart rate), and excessive metabolic needs (such as those brought on by thyrotoxicosis). Nonetheless, during the course of 15 years, the percentage of people with heart failure using beta-blocker medications in European nations has risen from 37 percent to 91 percent (Wang et al., 2023). Patients with heart failure (HF) who do not follow guidelines when receiving medical therapy are more likely to experience increasing symptoms, more frequent hospitalizations, and early mortality. Additionally, non-adherence puts individuals at risk for needless treatments, tests (such as medical imaging, and laboratory tests), and surgical operations (such as cardiac organ transplantation, device medical treatment, and more.) (Bitar et al., 2019). These risks also have a substantial financial impact on economies due to preventable hospital stays, wasted resources, and complications of disease (Krueger et al., 2018). According to Gupta et al. (2021), there is a variation in the estimated prevalence of non-adherence to heart failure drugs from 55 percent to 60 percent among cohorts (Ødegaard et al., 2022; Voors et al., 2016). For patients, carers, and those making decisions to grasp targets for the successful execution of guideline-directed medical therapy (GDMT), research on drug compliance and persistence is crucial (Spreafico et al., 2020; Williams et al., 2019; Burns et al., 2019). In real life, treatments that increase adherence and tenacity may have a greater influence on heart failure outcomes than novel medications. However, the constraints of many patient databases, such as poor follow-up or insufficient cross-linkage, or the fact that they rely on highly chosen populations of patients, frequently make it difficult to conduct research on real-world patient populations. Therefore, the current study's goal is to ascertain how closely heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) adhere to the pharmaceutical therapy that is advised by guidelines.

II. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research comprised known cases of patients who had reduced ejection fraction (HFrEF) that arrived at the Indus Hospital. The Morisky medication adherence scale was used to assess their compliance with prescribed medicine and the main causes of non-

adherence. The study's foundation was a cross-sectional care analysis. adults who have been 18 years of age or older and have had an echocardiogram showing a left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF) of no more than forty percent for over thirty days. The study comprised patients whose doctors had prescribed medications for HFrEF. Patients were asked questions on their drug adherence using the eight-question Morisky tool. Following that, it was divided into two categories: appropriate adherence (score 6 or above) and inadequate compliance (score five or below). SPSS version 26 was used to analyze the data. Based on normalcy, the mean \pm SD or median was calculated for age, level of education, medication duration, and monthly earnings. For every categorical variable, including marital status and gender, the percentage and frequency were calculated. Workplace, known co-occurring conditions, addiction (Naswar, Pan, gutka, etc.), smoking habits (smoker or nonsmoker), prescription medications, and medication compliance.

III. RESULTS

In this study, 137 patients with left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF) of no more than 40 percent on echocardiography and medications recommended for HFrEF by their healthcare providers were included. 52 (37.96 %) and 85 (62.04 %) of the participants were female. Of those receiving treatment, 88 percent were married. The ladies were almost all housewives. The following tables display the patients' profession and level of education. Only 19 of the patients (13.9 percent) were smokers, and 80.3 percent of patients had co-morbid conditions. The most prevalent comorbid, seen in more than fifty percent of cases, was hypertension and diabetes. In terms of drugs, the most regularly consumed categories were diuretics (85.4 percent), statins (93.4 percent), ACEIs (78.1 percent), and beta blockers (97.8 percent). Adherence to the pharmaceutical therapy prescribed by the guidelines for individuals with heart failure and a lower ejection fraction. 63.5 percent of heart failure patients showed adequate compliance with the pharmaceutical therapy prescribed by the guidelines, while 36.5 percent showed a lack of compliance or non-adherence.

In contrast to older patients, young people have been shown to be more adherent; mean family size, length of medication use, and years of schooling did not significantly affect this finding. Compared to patients with lower incomes, those with greater incomes were more devoted. The percentage of non-adherence was statistically not significant about marital status, occupation, or education, but it was substantially greater in patients who were widowed or separated. In summary, neither the rate of non-adherence among smokers nor non-smokers nor among patients with concomitant conditions was statistically significant. With the exception of Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme Inhibitors (ACEI), the rate of non-adherence to recommended medicine was likewise not statistically significant. There are several reasons why patients do not follow guidelines when receiving therapy, but the most frequent one is Issues with affordability.

Mean Median, Mode, Standard Deviation and SEM of Demographic Information of Patients

	Statistics							
		Age in years	Gender	Occupa tion	Educat ion	Mari tal Statu s	Smo king Statu s	
N	Valid	137	137	137	137	137	137	
	Missi ng	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Me	an	56.88	1.38	9.97	2.92	2.17	1.86	
Std. Me:	. Error of an	1.000	.042	.325	.097	.053	.030	
Me	dian	56.00	1.00	11.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	
Mo	de	50	1	13	3	2	2	
Std. Dev	viation	11.705	.487	3.806	1.131	.625	.347	
Var	riance	137.016	.237	14.484	1.280	.391	.120	

Statistical Analysis of Demographics

Age in years	5	
	Frequency	Percent
25-40	2	1.5
41-50	14	10.2
51-60	53	38.7
61-70	48	35.0
71-80	16	11.7
91-100	4	2.9
Total	137	100.0
Gender		
Male	85	62.0
Female	52	38.0
Total	137	100.0
Occupation		
Managers	1	.7
Technicians and associate Professional	6	4.4
Clerical support workers	7	5.1
Service and sales workers	10	7.3
Skilled Agriculture, Forestry and Fishery	13	9.5
workers		
Craft and related trade workers	14	10.2
Plant and machine operators	1	.7
Elementary occupations	2	1.5
Unemployed	15	10.9
Housewife	50	36.5
Retired/ Pensioner	18	13.1
Total	137	100.0
Education		
Illiterate	16	11.7
1-8	34	24.8
9-10	43	31.4
11-12	33	24.1
>12	11	8.0
Total	137	100.0
Marital Statu		
Single	2	1.5
Married	120	87.6
Widowed	10	7.3
Separated	5	3.6
Total	137	100.0
Single	2	1.5

Total	137	100.0				
Monthly Income						
10000	15	10.9				
100000	1	.7				
15000	15	10.9				
20000	17	12.4				
25000	21	15.3				
28000	1	.7				
30000	11	8.0				
35000	5	3.6				
40000	1	.7				
50000	3	2.2				
None	47	33.6				
Total	137	100.0				

Demographic Characteristics of Respondents

Statistics	Mea n	Std. Error of Mean	Media n	Mode	Std. Deviatio n	Va ria nc e
A8- Smoking status	1.86	0.03	2	2	0.347	0. 12
A9- Number of Cigarettes/days						
A10- Other Addictions						
A12- Comorbid	1.81	0.034	2	2	0.395	0. 15 6
A13- Co-morbid (choice=1. HTN)	1.45	0.043	1	1	0.499	0. 24 9
A13- Co-morbid (choice=2. DM)	1.45	0.043	1	1	0.5	0. 25
A13- Co-morbid (choice=3. CKD)	1.85	0.03	2	2	0.354	0. 12 6
A13- Co-morbid (choice=66.Other)	1	0	1	1	0	0
4- Other Co- morbid						

Smoking Status of the Patients with other Comorbidities

Smoking Status					
	Frequency	Percent			
Yes	19	13.9			
No	118	86.1			
Total	137	100.0			
A9- Number of Ci	garettes per day				
0	118	86.1			
10	4	2.9			
12	1	.7			
20	4	2.9			
5	8	5.8			
8	2	1.5			
Total	137	100.0			
A10- Other	Addictions				
	129	94.2			
-	2	1.5			
beetle nut	2	1.5			
Beetle nut	1	.7			

Niswar	3	2.2				
Total	137	100.0				
A12- Co	morbid					
No	26	19.0				
Yes	112	81.1				
Total	137	100.0				
A13- Co-morbid (choice=1. HTN)						
Yes	76	55.5				
No	61	44.5				
Total	137	100.0				
A13- Co-morbid	(choice=2. DM)					
Yes	75	54.7				
No	62	45.3				
Total	137	100.0				
	1	A13- Co-				
morbid (choice=3.CKD)	T	1				
Yes	20	14.6				
No	117	85.4				
Total	137	100.0				
A13- Co-morbid (· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T				
Yes	23	16.8				
No	114	83.2				
Total	137	100.0				
A14- Other	Co-morbid					
	114	83.2				
A. Fib	1	.7				
Asthma	1	.7				
ВРН	2	1.5				
BPH, CVA	1	.7				
CA bladder	1	.7				
CKD, MVR	1	.7				
CLD	2	1.5				
COPD	2	1.5				
CVA	6	4.4				
Dyslipidemia	2	1.5				
Epilepsy	1	.7				
hypothyroid	1	.7				
ovarian CA	1	.7				
Systemic Sclerosis, Thyroid Nodule	1	.7				
Total	137	100.0				

Mean Median, Mode, Standard Deviation and SEM of Medications

		Beta blockers	ACEI	ARNI	Aldosterone antagonist	Statin	Diuretics	All	A17- Others
N	Valid	137	137	137	137	137	137	137	137
	Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mea	n	.98	.78	.04	.28	.93	.85	.42	
Std.	Error of Mean	.013	.035	.016	.038	.021	.030	.042	
Med	ian	1.00	1.00	.00	.00	1.00	1.00	.00	
Mod	e	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	
Std.	Deviation	.147	.415	.188	.449	.249	.354	.496	
Vari	ance	.022	.172	.035	.202	.062	.126	.246	

Prescribed Medications

No Yes Total	Frequency	Percent
Yes		
	3	2.2
	134	97.8
	137	100.0
ACEI		
No	30	21.9
Yes	107	78.1
Total	137	100.0
ARNI		
No	132	96.4
Yes	5	3.6
Total	137	100.0
Aldosterone antagonist		
No	99	72.3
Yes	38	27.7
Total	137	100.0
Statin		
No	9	6.6
Yes	128	93.4
Total	137	100.0
Diuretics		
No	20	14.6
Yes	117	85.4
Total	137	100.0
A17 Other	137	100.0
	79	57.7
Ascard	7	8.0
Ascard, Amlodipine	1	.7
Ascard, Clopidogrel	6	4.4
Ascard, Clopidogrel, Hydralazine	1	.7
Ascard, Clopidogrel, Hydralazine, Amiodarone	1	.7
Ascard, Clopidogrel, Hydralazine, Monis	1	.7
Ascard, Clopidogre, sustac	1	.7
Ascard, Hydralazine, Nitrates, Metolazone	1	.7
ascard, Sustac	2	1.5
Ascard, warfarin	1	.7
ascard, ranzole	1	.7
Calcium channel blocker	1	.7
Cardinet	1	.7
Clopidogrel	2	1.5
DAPA	6	3.2
Dapa, Lowplat plus	1	.7
Dapa, Vestral MR, Insulin	1	.7
Hydralazine	3	2.2
Hydralazine, sustac	1	.7
hydralazine, sustac	2	1.5
Hydralazine, Astaru Hydralazine, Nitrate	4	1.2
Hydralazine, Nurate Hydralazine, Sustac	1	.7
Hydralzine, Sustac Hydralzine	1	.7
Ivatab	1	.7
lophos, Ascard	1	.7
Metolazone, Calcium channel blocker	1	.7

monis	1	.7
Ranola, Lowplat, Thyroxine	1	.7
Xcept / Ca channel blockers / Norvasc	1	.7
Total	137	100.0

Comparing the Adherence Rate to the Suggested Pharmacological Therapy Based on Comorbidities and Smoking

Adherence To the Guideline Recommended P-Value Total Pharmacological Therapy Variables **Adequate** Inadequate n=87 n=50 **Smoking Status** 0.973 19 12(63.2%) 7(36.8%) 118 Yes 75(63.6%) No 43(36.4%) Other Addiction **Beetle Nut** 1(33.3%) 2(66.7%) 3 0.999 Niswar 3 0.999 1 (33.3%) 2(66.7%) Comorbid 69 (62.7%) 41(37.3%) 110 0.534 46(60.5%) 30(39.5%) 0.477 Hypertension 76 **Diabetic Mellitus** 43(57.3%) 32(42.7%) 75 0.099 14(70%) 6(30%) 20 0.620 Others 14(60.9%) 9(39.1) 23 0.468

Comparing the Prescribed Medication's Adherence Percentage to the Guideline-Recommended Pharmacological Therapy

Prescribed Medications	Adherence To th Recommended Pha Therap	Total	P-Value	
	Adequate n=87	Inadequate n=50		
Beta blockers	84(62.7%)	50(37.3%)	134	0.184
ACEI/ARB	62(57.9%)	45(42.1%)	107	0.011
ARNI+ARB	3(60%)	2(40%)	5	0.868
Aldosterone antagonist	24(63.2%)	14(36.8%)	38	0.958
Statin	81(63.3%)	47(36.7%)	128	0.838
Diuretics	73(62.4%)	44(37.6%)	117	0.514
Ascard	22(75.9%)	7(24.1%)	29	0.119
Hydralazine	13(76.5%)	4(23.5%)	17	0.235
Clopidogrel	10(83.3%)	2(16.7%)	12	0.210
DAPA	6(75%)	2(25%)	8	0.710
Nitrates	3(60%)	2(40%)	5	0.999
Others	8(80%)	2(20%)	10	0.326

IV. DISCUSSION

The degree to which an individual takes medicine as directed by their healthcare professional is known as adherence to medications. According to Yancy et al. (2013), non-adherence is often an undetected issue that healthcare professionals and patients fail to acknowledge. The degree to which a person's behavior of taking drugs, following a dietary plan, and/or implementing changes in lifestyle coincides with accepted recommendations from a medical professional is how the World Health Organization describes adherence to ongoing treatment (Usuda et al., 2021). A variety of techniques, including pill counting, firsthand observation, caretaker reports, physician ratings and self-reports, and medicine used for the previous seven days, can be used to assess compliance with medications (Willenheimer et al., 2005). A variety of factors such as the disease status and individuals' educational backgrounds, the objective of adherence assessment varies by country (Shah et al., 2017). The mean age of the participants in this study was $56.89 \pm N11.74$ years, while they were taking medicine for an average duration of 2.41 ± 3.44 years. Of them, 62.04 percent were men and 37.96 percent were women. The study conducted by Yancy et al. (2017) found that the mean age of the respondents was 46.25 ± 17.04 and that more than fifty percent of them were female (57.1 %).

In this study, 63.5 percent of heart failure individuals (Morisky medication adherence score 6 or above) showed adequate adherence to the guideline-recommended pharmaceutical therapy, while 36.5 percent showed inadequate adherence or non-adherence (Morisky medication adherence score). The Ponikowski et al. (2016) study's outcome also shows that 64.86 percent of individuals took their medications as directed. This statistic differs significantly from a study done in Yemen in 2013, where 54.2 percent of the subjects did not take the medication, they were given as recommended, and the Canadian city of Toronto in 2013, where 43 percent of participants did not take their prescribed medicine as recommended. In a 2015 systematic analysis research, 57 percent of the individuals in the untreated group following the 6-week trial's intervention were included. The shift in non-adherence could be attributed to the creation of knowledge and follow-up data through the many media outlets available in our nation. Several characteristics associated with heart failure (HF) were discovered, including the patient's awareness of the illness, socioeconomic situation, education level, client-provider relationship, and the varying acute and chronic characteristics of HF (Sliwa et al., 2004). However, these domains are intricate and interconnected, and there is still a lack of knowledge to distinguish particular elements linked to improved medication compliance that can direct the creation of effective, workable interventions.

I. The key components of self-care as well as adherence to medicine and self-care behavior must be health behaviors, knowledge about medications, and self-monitoring of the signs and symptoms of the condition (Fang, 2005). Numerous factors can influence a patient's adherence to medications. According to Packer (2015), the World Health Organization (WHO) has classified the following characteristics: socioeconomic status, factors linked to the health care providers and system in place, factors connected

to disease, characteristics associated with therapy, and aspects related to patients.

ISSN: 1673-064X

II. CONCLUSION

According to the Morisky medication adherence score (below 6), 36.5% of the participants in our study were found to have insufficient adherence. Age (elderly), cognitive impairment, the use of multiple medications and monthly income were significant contributors to non-adherence. Inadequate adherence is linked to worsening health, faster illness progression, and higher death rates. A multifaceted strategy is needed to combat the issue of inadequate adherence. Counselling patients and their carers and providing education to medical staff about the advantages of sticking to medication therapy are essential. The government, charities, and social service providers all play crucial roles in providing the underprivileged patient population with social and financial support. A nationwide study with a sizable sample size will help us create an affordable approach for widespread adherence to drugs.

REFERENCES

- Bitar, S., Agrinier, N., Alla, F., Rossignol, P., Mebazaa, A., & Thilly, N. (2019). Adherence to ESC guideline-recommended medications over a 36-month follow-up period after hospitalization for heart failure: Results from the EPICAL2 cohort study. Pharmacoepidemiology and Drug Safety, 28(11), 1489-1500.
- Burns, A. D., Lane, D., Cole, R., Patel, P., & Gupta, P. (2019). Cardiovascular medication stability in urine for non-adherence screening by LC–MS-MS. Journal of Analytical Toxicology, 43(4), 325-329.
- Ekman, I., Wolf, A., Vaughan Dickson, V., Bosworth, H. B., & Granger, B. B. (2017). Unmet expectations of medications and care providers among patients with heart failure assessed to be poorly adherent: results from the Chronic Heart Failure Intervention to Improve MEdication Adherence (CHIME) study. European Journal of Cardiovascular Nursing, 16(7), 646-654.
- Fang, J. C. (2005). Angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or β-blockers in heart failure: Does it matter who goes first?. Circulation, 112(16), 2380-2382.
- Gupta, P., Voors, A. A., Patel, P., Lane, D., Anker, S. D., Cleland, J. G., ... & Ng, L. L. (2021). Non-adherence to heart failure medications predicts clinical outcomes: assessment in a single spot urine sample by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry (results of a prospective multicentre study). European Journal of Heart Failure, 23(7), 1182-1190.
- Hood, S. R., Giazzon, A. J., Seamon, G., Lane, K. A., Wang, J., Eckert, G. J., ... & Murray, M. D. (2018). Association between medication adherence and the outcomes of heart failure.

- Pharmacotherapy: The Journal of Human Pharmacology and Drug Therapy, 38(5), 539-545.
- Kocabaş, U., Ergin, I., Kıvrak, T., Yılmaz Öztekin, G. M., Tanık, V. O., Özdemir, İ., ... & Özçalık, E. (2023). Prognostic significance of medical therapy in patients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction. ESC Heart Failure, 10(6), 3677-3689.
- Kocabaş, U., Kıvrak, T., Öztekin, G. M. Y., Tanık, V. O., Özdemir, İ., Kaya, E., ... & Pehlivanoğlu, S. (2020). Adherence to guideline-directed medical and device Therapy in outpAtients with heart failure with reduced ejection fraction: The ATA study. Anatolian Journal of Cardiology, 24(1), 32.
- Krueger, K., Griese-Mammen, N., Schubert, I., Kieble, M., Botermann, L., Laufs, U., ... & Schulz, M. (2018). In search of a standard when analyzing medication adherence in patients with heart failure using claims data: a systematic review. Heart Failure Reviews, 23, 63-71.
- Lawson, A. J., Shipman, K. E., George, S., & Dasgupta, I. (2016). A novel 'Dilute-and-shoot'liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry method for the screening of antihypertensive drugs in urine. Journal of analytical toxicology, 40(1), 17-27.
- Ødegaard, K. M., Lirhus, S. S., Melberg, H. O., Hallén, J., & Halvorsen, S. (2022). A nationwide registry study on heart failure in Norway from 2008 to 2018: variations in lookback period affect incidence estimates. BMC Cardiovascular Disorders, 22(1), 88.
- Packer, M., McMurray, J. J., Desai, A. S., Gong, J., Lefkowitz, M. P., Rizkala, A. R., ... & Wong, R. C. C. (2015). Angiotensin receptor neprilysin inhibition compared with enalapril on the risk of clinical progression in surviving patients with heart failure. Circulation, 131(1), 54-61.
- Ponikowski, P., Voors, A. A., Anker, S. D., Bueno, H., Cleland, J. G., Coats, A. J., ... & Van Der Meer, P. (2016). 2016 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure: The Task Force for the diagnosis and treatment of acute and chronic heart failure of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) Developed with the special contribution of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the ESC. European heart journal, 37(27), 2129-200.
- Ruppar, T. M., Cooper, P. S., Mehr, D. R., Delgado, J. M., & Dunbar-Jacob, J. M. (2016). Medication adherence interventions improve heart failure mortality and readmission rates: systematic review and meta-analysis of controlled trials. Journal of the American Heart Association, 5(6), e002606.
- Shah, A., Gandhi, D., Srivastava, S., Shah, K. J., & Mansukhani, R. (2017). Heart failure: a class review of pharmacotherapy. Pharmacy and Therapeutics, 42(7), 464.
- Sliwa, K., Norton, G. R., Kone, N., Candy, G., Kachope, J., Woodiwiss, A. J., ... & Essop, R. (2004). Impact of initiating

- carvedilol before angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor therapy on cardiac function in newly diagnosed heart failure. Journal of the American College of Cardiology, 44(9), 1825-1830.
- Spreafico, M., Gasperoni, F., Barbati, G., Ieva, F., Scagnetto, A., Zanier, L., ... & Di Lenarda, A. (2020). Adherence to disease-modifying therapy in patients hospitalized for HF: findings from a community-based study. American Journal of Cardiovascular Drugs, 20, 179-190.
- Usuda, D., Higashikawa, T., Hotchi, Y., Usami, K., Shimozawa, S., Tokunaga, S., ... & Sugita, M. (2021). Angiotensin receptor blocker neprilysin inhibitors. World Journal of Cardiology, 13(8), 325.
- Voors, A. A., Anker, S. D., Cleland, J. G., Dickstein, K., Filippatos, G., van der Harst, P., ... & Metra, M. (2016). A systems BIOlogy Study to TAilored Treatment in Chronic Heart Failure: rationale, design, and baseline characteristics of BIOSTAT-CHF. European journal of heart failure, 18(6), 716-726.
- Wang, G., Liu, L., Wang, X., Yu, T., Xu, H., Zhang, T., ... & Hu, H. (2023). Analysis of Physician Compliance with Guideline-Directed Medical Therapy for Patients with Heart Failure with Reduced Ejection Fraction: A Real-World Study. Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine, 24(9), 257.
- Willenheimer, R., van Veldhuisen, D. J., Silke, B., Erdmann, E., Follath, F., Krum, H., ... & Lechat, P. (2005). Effect on survival and hospitalization of initiating treatment for chronic heart failure with bisoprolol followed by enalapril, as compared with the opposite sequence: results of the randomized Cardiac Insufficiency Bisoprolol Study (CIBIS) III. Circulation, 112(16), 2426-2435.
- Williams, B., Mancia, G., Spiering, W., Rosei, E. A., Azizi, M., Burnier, M., ... & Desormais, I. (2019). 2018 ESC/ESH Guidelines for the management of arterial hypertension. Kardiologia Polska (Polish Heart Journal), 77(2), 71-159.
- Yancy, C. W., Jessup, M., Bozkurt, B., Butler, J., Casey Jr, D. E., Colvin, M. M., ... & Westlake, C. (2017). 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA focused update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Clinical Practice Guidelines and the Heart Failure Society of America. Circulation, 136(6), e137-e161.
- Yancy, C. W., Jessup, M., Bozkurt, B., Butler, J., Casey, D. E., Drazner, M. H., ... & Wilkoff, B. L. (2013). 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of heart failure: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. Journal of the American college of cardiology, 62(16), e147-e239.

Authors

Journal of Xi'an Shiyou University, Natural Science Edition

First Author – Dr Ateeb Mahmood Khan Post Fellow in Cardiac Imaging National institute of Cardiovascular Disease Karachi-Pakistan

Second Author – Aijaz Ali Kaleri Nursing Manager NICH

Third Author – Dr Nehrish Patel Senior Registrar National institute of Cardiovascular Disease Karachi-Pakistan

Fourth Author –Naeem Ullah Khan Manager Structure Heart Disease Program National institute of Cardiovascular Disease Karachi-Pakistan

Fifth Author — Dr.Faizan Abdul Aziz Wallam Clinical Fellow Adult Cardiology National institute of Cardiovascular Disease Karachi-Pakistan

Sixth Author – Tahir Hussain PhD Scholar Hamdard Institute of Management Sciences Karachi Pakistan **Seventh Author** – Dr. Asadullah Kundi Professor Indus Hospital and Health Network

Correspondence Author Aijaz Ali kaleri Nursing Manager NICH ISSN: 1673-064X