

Stubble to Fuel: A Step towards Greener Future

Hardik Panchal¹, Jitendra Kumar Bind¹ and Dr. Kriti Bhandari^{1*}

¹Department of Biotechnology, Delhi Technological University (formerly DCE), Delhi, India

Abstract: Stubble burning has emerged as a significant environmental challenge, especially in areas such as Punjab, Haryana and Delhi in India, leading to air pollution and loss of valuable biomass resources. This paper explores the use of bioethanol production from stubble as a viable solution to these problems. This review paper explores the potential of using stubble as a feedstock for sustainable fuel production. It provides a comprehensive overview of the bioethanol production process from stubble, which includes key steps such as stubble harvesting and collection, pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, fermentation, distillation, purification, optional denaturation, and optional aging. The importance of effective pretreatment is emphasized, which allows the breakdown of the complex lignocellulosic structure of stubble into simple sugars that can be easily converted into ethanol. Various physical, chemical, and biological pretreatment methods are discussed, including mechanical, chemical, and biological approaches. In addition, this paper presents an in-depth examination of the state-of-the-art techniques and methodologies used to optimize efficiency and effectiveness in the production of ethanol from agricultural stubble. The current state of research and ongoing efforts in this area are also discussed, shedding light on the progress and future prospects of stubble fuel technologies. The importance of this review lies in its contribution to the development of a greener future by highlighting the potential of stubble as a renewable energy source. Using stubble for bioethanol production instead of burning it can reduce greenhouse gas emissions and mitigate environmental pollution. This paper highlights the importance of adopting sustainable practices and provides valuable insights into the use of stubble as a stepping stone to a greener and more sustainable future.

Keywords: Bioethanol, Stubble, Pretreatment, Lignocellulosic structure, Enzymatic Hydrolysis, Fermentation

1. Introduction

The need for sustainable and renewable energy sources is becoming increasingly important in our modern world, driven by concerns about climate change, environmental pollution and the finite nature of fossil fuels. In this context, the use of agricultural residues[1], such as stubble, as a raw material for the production of fuels has proven to be a promising solution[6]. This review paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the various production methods used to convert stubble into valuable biofuels, with an emphasis on the potential for a sustainable and greener energy future.

Stubble, the residual biomass left after crop harvest, represents an important biomass resource that is often underutilized or improperly managed. In many agricultural areas, stubble burning is a prevalent practice, leading to environmental pollution and loss of valuable energy resources. To address these issues, researchers have been researching and developing production methods to convert stubble into biofuels such as bioethanol as an alternative to fossil fuels. The scale of the stubble burning problem is huge, especially in countries like India. During the post-harvest period, stubble burning contributes to millions of metric tons of carbon dioxide emissions in India alone. According to recent data in the northern states of Punjab, Haryana and Delhi, stubble burning accounts for a significant portion of total air pollution during this period, leading to severe air quality degradation and posing health risks to the population. Additionally, the economic consequences are significant, with estimated annual economic losses exceeding millions of dollars due to the loss of valuable biomass resources through combustion. In order to exploit the energy

potential of stubble and minimize its impact on the environment, a number of production methods have been developed and researched. These methods involve various steps, including stubble collection and handling, pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis, fermentation, distillation, and purification. Each step plays a key role in the efficient conversion of stubble biomass into bioethanol[1].

Pre-treatment is a key stage in the production process, as it facilitates the breakdown of the complex lignocellulosic structure of stubble into simpler sugars that can be easily converted into bioethanol. A number of physical, chemical and biological pretreatment methods have been investigated[3]. For example, steam explosion pretreatment has shown promising results in increasing sugar release during subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis. Chemical methods such as acid or base pretreatment have also been investigated, along with biological approaches involving the use of microorganisms or enzymes to break down stubble biomass. Enzymatic hydrolysis, which follows pretreatment, involves the enzymatic conversion of complex carbohydrates present in the stubble into fermentable sugars. Recent advances in enzyme technology have led to the development of new enzyme cocktails with improved efficiency and specificity that enable higher yields of fermentable sugars from stubble biomass[23]. This has significantly contributed to increasing the overall efficiency of bioethanol production. Fermentation is another critical step in the conversion process, where fermentable sugars obtained by enzymatic hydrolysis are metabolized by microorganisms, typically yeast, to form ethanol. Selection of suitable yeast strains and optimization of fermentation conditions play a vital role in maximizing ethanol yield. Research efforts have focused on the development of robust and ethanol-tolerant yeast strains to increase fermentation efficiency and productivity. Post-fermentation processes such as distillation and purification are necessary to obtain high-purity ethanol suitable for various applications. Distillation involves separating the ethanol from the fermentation broth, while purification ensures the removal of impurities and contaminants, resulting in high-quality ethanol.

This paper will provide an extensive overview of these manufacturing methods, delving into the scientific principles, technological advances and process optimization strategies. It will also highlight the advantages and limitations of each method, as well as their potential for scalability and commercial viability[15]. In addition, the review will present an analysis of the current state of the industry, emerging trends and future prospects, while highlighting the opportunities and challenges in the widespread adoption of stubble fuel production methods.

2. Procedure

The process of preparing bioethanol from agricultural stubble typically involves the following steps:

2.1. Stubble Harvest and Collection

The first step in the production of bioethanol from agricultural stubble is the harvesting and collection of biomass[5]. This key phase involves the removal and collection of stubble after the primary harvest of crops such as residues of maize, wheat, rice or other agricultural crops. Efficient harvesting and collection of stubble is vital to ensure an adequate and consistent supply of feedstock for bioethanol production.

Methods of harvesting stubble can vary depending on the specific crop and the equipment available. Common practices include the use of combine harvesters, balers, or specialized equipment designed to collect stubble. The primary objective is to effectively separate the grain or seeds of the crop from the remaining stalks, stems and leaves, leaving stubble biomass behind. Stubble collection includes the

collection and transport of biomass to a bioethanol production facility. Correct collection procedures are necessary to minimize biomass losses and maintain the quality of the input raw material. This may include the use of bale hoppers, trailers or other machinery capable of efficiently handling and transporting large volumes of stubble. Factors such as stubble moisture, field conditions and weather conditions are essential to consider during the harvesting and collection process. High moisture content in stubble can lead to microbial degradation and affect biomass storage and subsequent processing. In addition, adverse weather conditions such as rain or wind can affect collection efficiency and the overall quality of harvested stubble. Efficient stubble harvesting and collection play an important role in ensuring a sustainable and reliable supply of raw materials for bioethanol production. It helps prevent stubble burning, which is a common practice in some regions and contributes to environmental pollution. By using stubble biomass as a valuable resource, the agricultural sector can reduce waste and promote sustainable energy production.

Advances in harvesting and collection technologies have been made to optimize the efficiency and effectiveness of this step. Improved machines and equipment have been developed to improve stubble separation, minimize losses and increase productivity. In addition, research efforts have focused on the development of methods for assessing the quality of harvested stubble, including moisture content analysis and biomass composition characterization. In addition, the integration of precision farming techniques and automation technologies in stubble harvesting and collection holds promise for increased efficiency and reduced operating costs. These improvements enable real-time monitoring, data-driven decision-making and precise control over the harvesting process, resulting in improved resource utilization and minimized environmental impact.

2.2. Pretreatment

The pretreatment step is a key stage in the production of bioethanol from agricultural stubble. It involves the application of physical, chemical or biological methods to break down the complex lignocellulosic structure of biomass[8], making the cellulosic and hemicellulosic components more accessible to enzymatic hydrolysis. By disrupting the biomass structure and removing or modifying lignin, pretreatment increases the efficiency of subsequent sugar conversion, leading to higher bioethanol yields. Various pretreatment methods are available, each with advantages and limitations, with the choice depending on factors such as feedstock properties and economic feasibility. Optimization strategies focus on maximizing sugar release while minimizing energy and chemical inputs. The pretreatment step contributes significantly to improving the overall efficiency and commercial viability of stubble production for bioethanol.

2.2.1 Physical pre-treatment: It involves the application of mechanical forces and physical treatments to disrupt the rigid structure of lignocellulosic biomass, facilitating better availability of cellulosic and hemicellulosic fractions for subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis. The advantages of physical pretreatment lie in its simplicity, environmental friendliness and compatibility with existing infrastructure. Unlike chemical pretreatment methods, it does not require the use of harsh chemicals or expensive catalysts, making it cost-effective and environmentally sustainable. Additionally, physical pretreatment can be easily integrated into existing bioethanol production facilities, minimizing the need for significant modifications or new infrastructure. But this also brings certain limitations. The high energy requirements associated with size reduction and milling processes can increase production costs and energy consumption. In addition, the removal of lignin during physical pretreatment can lead to the loss of valuable by-products such as lignin

derivatives that have potential industrial applications. Below are some commonly used physical pretreatment methods:

Size reduction: This method involves reducing the size of the biomass particles in the stubble using techniques such as cutting, crushing or chopping. The reduction in size increases the ratio of surface area to biomass volume[1], which facilitates better contact between the enzymes and the cellulosic and hemicellulosic fractions.

Milling: Milling refers to the process of grinding or pulverizing biomass using equipment such as hammer mills, attrition mills or ball mills. It helps break down the stubble into smaller particles, improves enzymatic availability and increases the overall efficiency of cellulose hydrolysis.

Steam explosion: Steam explosion pretreatment involves exposing the biomass to high pressure steam followed by rapid decompression[9]. This process causes physical disruption of the lignocellulosic structure due to the expansion and explosion of steam in the biomass. The steam blast effectively breaks down the biomass and makes it more susceptible to enzymatic hydrolysis.

Liquid hot water treatment: In this method, the biomass is exposed to hot water above 160 °C under high pressure conditions. Hot water breaks down the hemicellulose fraction, facilitating its removal and exposing the cellulose to subsequent hydrolysis. Liquid hot water treatment is relatively mild and environmentally friendly compared to other pretreatment methods.

Mechanical shearing: Mechanical shearing involves subjecting biomass to mechanical shearing forces, typically using equipment such as high-pressure homogenizers or extruders. This treatment disrupts the lignocellulosic structure, reduces cellulose crystallinity and increases enzymatic digestibility.

Microwave-Assisted Pretreatment: Microwave pretreatment uses microwave radiation to create localized heating in the biomass. Rapid and selective heating induces the expansion of water molecules, which results in the breakdown of the biomass structure. This method offers the advantage of reduced reaction time and energy consumption.

These physical pretreatment methods have proven to be effective in improving the enzymatic digestibility of agricultural stubble biomass and increasing bioethanol yield. Optimization strategies such as adjusting process parameters (temperature, pressure, residence time) and combining different pretreatment methods are continuously explored to maximize the efficiency and commercial viability of physical pretreatment techniques.

2.2.2. Chemical pretreatment: Chemical pretreatment involves the application of chemicals to modify the lignocellulosic structure of the biomass, which facilitates the release of cellulosic and hemicellulosic fractions for subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis. This method uses various acids, alkalis, solvents or oxidizing agents to efficiently break down the complex biomass matrix. It uses the reactivity of various chemicals to disrupt the lignocellulosic structure. The primary goal is to remove or modify lignin, which acts as a barrier and inhibits the access of cellulose and hemicellulose to enzymes. By breaking lignin and other complex bonds, chemical pretreatment increases the susceptibility of biomass to subsequent

enzymatic hydrolysis, which leads to improved sugar yield and bioethanol production. Chemical pretreatment offers several advantages, including high sugar yields, efficient delignification, and versatility with different biomass feedstocks. It can also process a variety of lignocellulosic materials, including agricultural residues, energy crops and forest residues. However, this method requires careful control of operating conditions, and the use of corrosive chemicals raises environmental and safety concerns. Some common chemical pretreatment methods are:

Dilute Acid Pretreatment: It involves treating agricultural stubble with a dilute acid solution, typically sulfuric acid or hydrochloric acid. The acid acts on the biomass, selectively hydrolyzing the hemicellulose fraction, while partially solubilizing the lignin component. The process takes place under controlled conditions of temperature, pressure and acid concentration[4]. During this method, the acid breaks the ester bonds and glycosidic bonds in the hemicellulose and converts it into soluble sugars. Partial solubilization of lignin helps to increase the porosity of the biomass, making it more accessible for enzymatic hydrolysis. However, lignin remains as a residue after pretreatment and may require further processing for complete lignin removal.

Organosolv Pretreatment: It involves the use of organic solvents such as ethanol, methanol or acetone to solubilize lignin and disrupt the lignocellulosic structure. The process typically takes place at high temperatures and pressures, often in the presence of an acid catalyst. During organosolv pretreatment, the organic solvent penetrates the biomass and dissolves the lignin, leading to the removal of lignin from the biomass matrix. Pretreatment also leads to partial hydrolysis of hemicellulose, releasing soluble sugars. The remaining cellulose undergoes structural changes and becomes more available for enzymatic hydrolysis.

Alkaline Pretreatment: It involves the use of alkaline solutions such as sodium hydroxide (NaOH) or ammonia (NH₃) to pre-treat agricultural stubble[14]. Its aim is to selectively remove lignin and improve the availability of cellulosic and hemicellulosic fractions. In this method, the alkaline solution breaks down the lignin by saponification or solubilization, which leads to the removal or modification of the lignin. The process also causes cellulose and hemicellulose to swell, making them more susceptible to enzymatic hydrolysis.

Optimization strategies aim to increase sugar release, maximize bioethanol yields, and minimize energy and chemical consumption. Parameters such as temperature, pressure, reaction time and chemical concentration can be adjusted to optimize the pretreatment process. Moreover, the combination of different pretreatment methods, such as sequential or simultaneous chemical and physical pretreatments, can lead to synergistic effects and improved efficiency.

2.2.3 Biological Pretreatment: Biological pretreatment uses the natural ability of certain microorganisms, such as bacteria and fungi, to produce enzymes that can degrade lignin and hemicellulose[3]. These microorganisms secrete enzymes such as cellulases, hemicellulases and ligninases, which break down the complex structure of biomass into simpler components. Enzymatic action leads to solubilization of hemicellulose and partial degradation of lignin, resulting in increased availability of cellulose for enzymatic hydrolysis. Biological pretreatment offers several advantages, including mild reaction conditions, reduced energy requirements, and minimal chemical inputs. It can effectively break down lignin and hemicellulose fractions without the need for aggressive chemicals, making it environmentally friendly. In addition, by-

products generated during biological pretreatment, such as lignin derivatives, have potential value in various industries. However, this method often has longer incubation times compared to physical or chemical pretreatment, which can affect the overall timeline and scalability of the process. Challenges include selection and maintenance of appropriate microorganisms, process control, and potential contamination issues. Some common biological pretreatment methods are:

Bacterial Pretreatment: It involves the use of bacteria with lignocellulolytic capabilities to break down the complex biomass structure of agricultural stubble. Bacteria such as *Cellulomonas*[30], *Streptomyces*, and *Clostridium* species produce a variety of cellulases and hemicellulases that can hydrolyze cellulose and hemicellulosic fractions, respectively. In bacterial pretreatment, selected lignocellulolytic bacteria are cultured in a suitable medium containing agricultural stubble. Bacteria secrete cellulases and hemicellulases that act on the cellulosic and hemicellulosic components of biomass. Enzymatic action breaks down complex polysaccharides into simpler sugars, making them more available for subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis.

Fungal Pretreatment: It is a biological method that uses certain types of fungi, especially white rot fungi[3], to degrade lignin and modify the lignocellulosic structure of agricultural stubble. White-rot fungi such as *Phanerochaete chrysosporium*, *Trametes versicolor*, and *Pleurotus ostreatus* have the unique ability to produce ligninolytic enzymes[22], including lignin peroxidases, manganese peroxidases, and laccases. These enzymes are able to break down complex lignin polymers into smaller[13], more manageable fragments. During mold pretreatment, agricultural stubble is inoculated with selected fungi and the culture is maintained under controlled conditions of temperature, humidity, and nutrient availability. Fungi grow on biomass and secrete ligninolytic enzymes that act on the lignin component of the biomass. Enzymatic action leads to the degradation of lignin, which results in the release of lignocellulosic bonds and increased availability of cellulosic and hemicellulosic fractions.

Optimization of biological pretreatment includes selection of appropriate microorganisms or enzyme cocktails, control of process parameters such as temperature, pH, and moisture content, and optimization of incubation time. The selection of microorganisms and their cultivation conditions greatly affects the effectiveness and efficiency of the pretreatment process. Additionally, combining biological pretreatment with other pretreatment methods or subsequent enzymatic hydrolysis steps can increase overall sugar yields and process efficiency.

2.3. Fermentation

The fermentation step is a key stage in the production of bioethanol from agricultural stubble, where the sugars obtained from the pretreatment step are converted into ethanol by microorganisms, typically yeast. Selected microorganisms, such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, use sugars, mainly glucose and fructose, as an energy source. Microorganisms break down sugars through glycolysis and convert them into pyruvate. Pyruvate is further metabolized through the process of alcoholic fermentation, where it is converted into ethanol and carbon dioxide. It is a well-established and efficient process that can convert sugars into ethanol with high yields. It is also a relatively simple process that requires minimal equipment and resources. In addition, the by-product of fermentation, known as stills, can be used as animal feed, contributing to the overall sustainability of the process. However, fermentation also has limitations. It is sensitive to various

process parameters and deviations from optimal conditions can significantly affect ethanol yield and productivity. The presence of inhibitors in the fermentation medium can further reduce ethanol production. Additionally, the cost and availability of microorganisms, as well as the need for sterile conditions, can pose challenges for large-scale implementation. The selection of a suitable microorganism is crucial for successful fermentation. *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, a yeast species commonly used in ethanol production, has been extensively studied and used due to its high ethanol tolerance, robustness and efficient sugar metabolism[7]. It can efficiently ferment glucose and fructose, the main sugars obtained from the pretreatment step. Other microorganisms such as *Zymomonas mobilis* and *Escherichia coli* have also shown the potential to ferment pentose sugars such as xylose that are present in hemicellulose. These microorganisms have been genetically modified to increase their ability to utilize a wider range of sugars, improving overall fermentation efficiency.

2.3.1 Fermentation Conditions

Optimum fermentation conditions are crucial to ensure maximum ethanol yield and productivity. Several factors need to be carefully controlled:

Temperature: The temperature during fermentation significantly affects the growth and metabolic activity of the selected microorganism. In general, temperatures between 25 and 35 degrees Celsius are preferred for yeast fermentation. However, specific microorganisms may have different temperature requirements. Maintaining the appropriate temperature ensures optimal enzyme activity, yeast viability and ethanol production.

pH: The pH level of the fermentation medium affects microbial activity and ethanol production efficiency. The optimum pH range for yeast fermentation is typically between 4.5 and 5.5[4]. Deviations from this range can negatively affect yeast growth and fermentation performance. pH regulation by adding buffering agents or acid/alkaline solutions is necessary to maintain optimal conditions.

Nutrient Availability: Yeast fermentation requires an adequate supply of nutrients for optimal growth and ethanol production. Nitrogen in the form of ammonium sulfate or yeast extract is particularly important for yeast metabolism and protein synthesis. Other important nutrients include vitamins, minerals and trace elements. The correct addition of nutrients ensures the vitality of the yeast and promotes efficient fermentation.

Oxygen levels: Fermentation is an anaerobic process, meaning it takes place in the absence of oxygen[10]. Oxygen can inhibit the fermentation process and redirect carbon flow towards biomass production instead of ethanol. Therefore, it is crucial to maintain anaerobic conditions throughout the fermentation process. Proper container design and sealing techniques are used to limit oxygen ingress.

2.3.2 Types of Fermentation Processes

There are several types of fermentation processes that can be used in the production of bioethanol from agricultural stubble. The choice of fermentation type depends on the specific raw material, desired product and process requirements. Let's explore some common types of fermentation used in bioethanol production:

Batch Fermentation

Batch fermentation is a traditional and widely used method in the production of bioethanol. In this process, a fixed volume of fermentation medium containing a substrate (such as agricultural stubble) and microorganisms is placed in a sealed container and allowed to ferment for a period of time. Fermentation continues until the substrate is consumed or until the desired ethanol concentration is reached. Batch fermentation is relatively simple and cost-effective, making it suitable for small-scale operations. However, it has some disadvantages such as longer process times and fluctuations in fermentation conditions that can affect ethanol yield and productivity.

Continuous Fermentation

Continuous fermentation is a process that involves continuous addition of fresh substrate and continuous removal of fermented liquid from the fermentation system. This allows steady-state operation with constant input and output of substrate and product. Continuous fermentation offers several advantages, including higher productivity, shorter process times, and better control of fermentation conditions. It is particularly suitable for large-scale operations and can be integrated into continuous production lines. However, continuous fermentation requires precise control of fermentation parameters and can be more complex and expensive to implement compared to batch fermentation[26].

Fed-Batch Fermentation

Fed-batch fermentation is a hybrid approach that combines aspects of both batch and continuous fermentation. In this method, fresh substrate is added intermittently during the fermentation process to maintain an optimal substrate concentration. Addition of substrate in a controlled manner allows better control over the fermentation process and can lead to higher ethanol yields compared to batch fermentation. Fed-batch fermentation offers advantages such as better substrate utilization, increased microbial activity and increased productivity. It is commonly used when working with substrates that are expensive or difficult to obtain.

Simultaneous Saccharification and Fermentation (SSF)

SSF is a consolidated process that combines enzymatic biomass hydrolysis and fermentation into a single step[11]. It involves adding enzymes such as cellulases and hemicellulases to pretreated biomass to break down complex carbohydrates into fermentable sugars. Selected microorganisms are then introduced into the system to simultaneously ferment the sugars into ethanol[1]. SSF offers advantages such as reduced process time, better sugar utilization and simplified process integration. It is particularly suitable for lignocellulosic biomass such as agricultural stubble, as it eliminates the need for separate enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentation steps.

Consolidated Bioprocessing (CBP)

CBP aims to integrate multiple steps, including pretreatment, enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentation, into a single microorganism or microbial consortium[12]. The microorganisms used have the ability to produce the necessary enzymes for biomass decomposition and to ferment the resulting sugars into ethanol in a single step of the process[3]. CBP offers the advantage of streamlining the overall process, reducing costs and simplifying the manufacturing process[12]. However, CBP is still an emerging area of research and further progress is needed to optimize the process and improve its efficiency.

2.3.3 Factors Influencing Ethanol Yield and Productivity:

Several factors can influence the ethanol yield and productivity during fermentation:

Sugar concentration: The initial concentration of sugar in the fermentation medium affects the ethanol yield. Higher sugar concentrations generally lead to higher ethanol yields. However, excessively high sugar concentrations can have inhibitory effects on yeast metabolism and fermentation performance. Therefore, a balance must be achieved to optimize sugar concentration for maximum ethanol production.

Fermentation time: The length of fermentation depends on various factors, including the microorganism chosen, the fermentation conditions, and the desired ethanol concentration. Fermentation time can range from a few hours to a few days. Prolonged fermentation time can increase the risk of contamination and reduce the efficiency of the process. Therefore, it is important to determine the optimal fermentation time to maximize ethanol production while minimizing the duration of the process[28].

Presence of inhibitor: During the pretreatment step, by-products such as furfural and hydroxymethylfurfural (HMF), which are known inhibitors of yeast fermentation, may be formed. These inhibitors can hinder yeast viability and fermentation performance. Detoxification strategies, such as washing or enzymatic treatment, can be used to remove or reduce the inhibitor concentration, thereby increasing fermentation efficiency[2].

The scalability and commercial viability of fermentation-based ethanol production from agricultural stubble depends on several factors. These include availability and cost of agricultural stubble, optimization of fermentation conditions, efficient use of microorganisms and integration with downstream processes. Continuous research and development focus on improving fermentation processes, increasing ethanol yield and reducing production costs. This includes the exploration of genetically modified microorganisms with improved ethanol tolerance, substrate utilization and inhibitor resistance. Moreover, the integration of fermentation with other technologies such as simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) or consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) can streamline the overall process and improve efficiency. SSF combines enzymatic biomass hydrolysis and fermentation into a single step, while CBP aims to achieve simultaneous saccharification, fermentation and product recovery in one reactor system.

2.4. Distillation

After fermentation, the resulting mixture contains ethanol along with water and various impurities. The distillation process separates these components based on their different boiling points, allowing ethanol to be isolated in its concentrated form. It enables the concentration of ethanol to a level suitable for fuel applications and enables the production of high quality bioethanol. In addition, the separation of impurities during distillation helps to improve the purity and quality of the final ethanol product.

However, the distillation process also has some limitations. It is energy intensive, requiring a significant amount of heat for evaporation and separation. The presence of azeotropes, which are mixtures of ethanol and water that exhibit different boiling properties, can present problems in achieving high ethanol concentrations.

Distillation involves heating the fermented mixture in a still, which is a vertical cylindrical vessel equipped with stages or packing. The mixture is heated to a temperature where the ethanol evaporates while the water and other impurities remain in the liquid phase. The vapors rise through the column and come into contact with cooler surfaces such as trays or packing, causing condensation and separation. A distillation column consists of several stages or floors, each of which provides a surface area for vapor-liquid contact. As the vapors rise through the column, they encounter trays or packings that increase the efficiency of the separation. The condensed liquid, known as distillate, collects at the top of the column and contains a high concentration of ethanol. The remaining liquid, called the still or stills, contains a lower concentration of ethanol and is recycled back into the fermentation process or used for other purposes. This process typically involves multiple distillation columns operating at different pressures, known as a multistage distillation system. This system allows further separation and purification of ethanol. The use of a series of columns with different pressure conditions optimizes the separation of ethanol from water and impurities, resulting in a higher concentration of ethanol in the final product.

Several factors affect the efficiency and effectiveness of the distillation process. Selection of suitable operating conditions, including temperature, pressure and reflux ratio, is critical to achieving the desired separation. In addition, the design and configuration of the distillation column, such as the number of stages or the type of packing, affects the separation efficiency and energy consumption. Energy efficiency is an important factor in distillation because it can significantly affect the overall cost of bioethanol production. Various techniques such as heat integration, vapor recompression and advanced distillation configurations are used to optimize energy use and reduce energy requirements.

2.5. Purification

After the distillation process, the ethanol obtained still contains traces of impurities that must be removed to meet the required quality standards for fuel grade ethanol. The purification step aims to further refine and purify the ethanol, ensuring its suitability for various applications. Removing impurities not only improves bioethanol quality and performance, but also ensures compliance with fuel standards and regulations. It is worth noting that the purification step may introduce additional costs and energy requirements to the overall ethanol production process. Therefore, the development of efficient and cost-effective purification methods is an ongoing area of research and innovation in bioethanol production. The choice of purification techniques depends on factors such as the nature and concentration of impurities, the desired ethanol quality, and cost. Several techniques are used in the purification of bioethanol:

Dehydration: Dehydration is a common method used to remove the remaining water from ethanol. The water content of ethanol can lead to problems such as reduced fuel consumption and increased corrosion. Dehydration can be achieved by a variety of methods, including azeotropic distillation, molecular sieves, and membrane separation. These methods selectively remove water molecules, leaving anhydrous ethanol behind.

Adsorption: Adsorption processes involve the use of adsorbent materials to remove impurities from ethanol. Activated carbon and zeolites are commonly used adsorbents that can selectively adsorb undesirable compounds such as aldehydes, esters, and other volatile impurities. Ethanol passes through a layer of adsorbent material, which allows impurities to be captured and removed, resulting in purified ethanol.

Filtration: Filtration techniques such as activated carbon or membrane filtration can be used to remove solids and further improve the clarity and purity of the ethanol. These processes involve passing the ethanol through a filter media that can capture suspended solids, fine particles, and even some dissolved impurities.

Distillation: In some cases, a secondary distillation process may be used to further refine the ethanol and remove any remaining impurities. This additional distillation step, known as rectification, helps increase the purity of the ethanol by separating it from residual contaminants and unwanted compounds.

Chemical Treatments: Certain chemical treatments can be used to target specific impurities and contaminants in ethanol. For example, activated carbon can be treated with specific chemicals to increase its adsorption capacity for certain impurities. Chemical treatments can be tailored to the specific impurities present in the ethanol to ensure effective removal.

By utilizing various purification techniques, the production process can provide high-quality ethanol that meets the requirements of various industries, including transportation, energy, and chemical.

2.6 Denaturing (Optional)

Denaturation involves adding denaturing agents to ethanol to make it unfit for human consumption. This process is primarily done when the ethanol is destined for industrial, fuel, or non-beverage applications. The purpose of denaturing ethanol is to discourage its misuse as a beverage and to ensure that it is used only for designated industrial or fuel-related purposes. Denaturants are substances added to ethanol to make it tasteless and toxic when consumed. Common denaturants include methanol, isopropanol, and various bitter agents. The addition of denaturants is carefully regulated by government authorities to ensure compliance with legal requirements and to prevent illegal misuse of ethanol for illicit consumption. Denaturants are added in specific ratios that are mandated by regulations to ensure that ethanol becomes unfit for human consumption while retaining its functionality for industrial or fuel applications. This process typically involves mixing a denaturing agent with ethanol. The denaturant is thoroughly mixed with the ethanol to ensure even distribution. The mixture is then subjected to further processing steps such as stirring, filtration or distillation to ensure complete incorporation of the denaturant into the ethanol. Once the denaturation step is complete, ethanol is considered denatured and is legally classified as unfit for human consumption. Denatured ethanol can be used for a wide range of industrial applications, including solvent production, chemical synthesis, cleaning agents and fuel additives.

It is important to note that denatured ethanol should be handled with care as the denaturants added to it can be toxic or dangerous if ingested or misused. Proper safety protocols must be followed when handling and storing denatured ethanol to ensure the safety of workers and the environment. This move allows the use of ethanol in various industries while maintaining strict control over its use as a beverage. It helps prevent potential misuse of ethanol and ensures compliance with regulatory standards. By incorporating denaturants, bioethanol can be effectively used in applications that require a cost-effective, renewable and readily available source of alcohol-based products.

2.7 Aging (Optional)

Aging consists of leaving the distilled product for an extended period of time in certain vessels, such as oak barrels. This process allows the flavors, aromas and characteristics of the drink to develop and evolve over time, resulting in a smoother, more complex and desirable final product. During aging, the distilled beverage interacts with the wood of the barrel and undergoes a series of chemical and physical changes. The wood adds flavor, tannins and compounds to the liquid, adding depth and complexity to the final product. Additionally, this process allows for the integration and softening of various volatile compounds, resulting in a more harmonious and subtle flavor profile. The choice of aging vessels, such as oak barrels, is crucial because they contribute significantly to the aging process. Oak barrels are known for their ability to impart desirable flavors to drinks, including vanilla, caramel, spice and roasted notes. The porous nature of oak allows for a controlled exchange of oxygen with the liquid, which can further enhance the development of flavors and aromas.

The length of the aging process varies depending on several factors, including the type of beverage, desired flavor profile, and regulatory requirements. The aging period for some premium spirits can range from a few months to several years or even decades. During this time, the drink goes through a transformation process that gradually develops its unique character. Temperature and environmental conditions also affect the aging process. Fluctuations in temperature cause the drink to expand and contract, which promotes interactions between the liquid and the wood, making flavor extraction easier. The aging environment, such as humidity in the storage area, can also affect the ripening process and contribute to the overall sensory profile of the final product. It is important to note that not all alcoholic beverages are subject to aging. Some spirits, such as vodka and gin[19], may undergo little or no aging because they rely primarily on the distillation process and the selection of specific botanicals for their flavor profiles.

This step in the production of alcoholic beverages adds value and distinction to the final product. It enables the development of unique tastes, aromas and properties that cannot be achieved by distillation alone. The carefully controlled and monitored aging process contributes to the complexity, smoothness and overall quality of the drink, making it more enjoyable for the consumer. In short, it adds depth, complexity and smoothness to the drink, enhancing its overall quality and appeal.

3. Significance

The production of bioethanol from stubble is of great importance in solving urgent ecological and energy problems. The conversion of agricultural stubble to bioethanol represents an innovative approach to solving several critical problems.

One of the main issues is the environmental impact of stubble burning. Burning stubble is a common practice in agricultural areas, especially in countries like India, causing serious air pollution and contributing to greenhouse gas emissions. Stubble burning releases harmful pollutants such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds into the atmosphere[21], leading to adverse effects on air quality, human health and the environment. By converting stubble into bioethanol instead of burning it, we can effectively mitigate these negative ecological consequences. The production of bioethanol from stubble significantly reduces greenhouse gas emissions, minimizes air pollution and helps in the fight against climate change.

In addition, the relevance of this topic lies in the need to transition to sustainable and renewable energy sources. Fossil fuels, which are currently the primary source of energy, are exhaustible and contribute to environmental degradation. Bioethanol obtained from stubble offers a renewable and clean alternative[17]. Stubble is an agricultural residue that is abundantly available every year and provides a continuous and

sustainable supply of biomass for bioethanol production. By harnessing this renewable resource, we can reduce our dependence on non-renewable fossil fuels and move towards a greener energy future.

The topic is also topical in terms of resource utilization and waste management. Stubble is often considered a waste product after crop harvest and is either burned or left to decompose in fields. However, stubble can be turned into a valuable resource by producing bioethanol. This process maximizes the use of agricultural residues and reduces waste. By converting stubble into bioethanol, we not only address waste management concerns, but also create value-added products from previously underutilized resources.

In addition, the economic benefits associated with the production of bioethanol from stubble underline its importance. The establishment of bioethanol production facilities can stimulate the local economy, especially in rural agricultural areas where stubble is abundant. These facilities create jobs, support local communities and contribute to economic growth. In addition, bioethanol production helps increase energy security by reducing dependence on imported fossil fuels[27]. By developing domestic bioethanol production capacity, countries can achieve greater energy self-sufficiency and reduce vulnerability to global energy price fluctuations and geopolitical uncertainties.

The topic is also significant in terms of technological progress and research. Converting stubble to bioethanol requires scientific research, process optimization and technological innovation. Researchers and scientists are constantly striving to improve the efficiency, cost-effectiveness and scalability of the manufacturing process. This ongoing research leads to the development of new pretreatment methods, enzymatic processes, fermentation techniques and purification technology[1]s. Advances in these areas can improve the overall viability and competitiveness of bioethanol production from stubble, making it a more viable and attractive option for widespread adoption. By exploring and understanding the complexities of producing bioethanol from stubble, we can take significant steps towards a more sustainable and greener future.

4. Advancements

The field of turning stubble into fuel through bioethanol production is constantly evolving and its development is shaped by ongoing research and new trends. Several areas of ongoing research in this area include:

Advanced pretreatment methods: Researchers are investigating advanced pretreatment methods to improve biomass conversion efficiency. For example, the use of advanced physical pretreatment techniques such as microwave irradiation has been shown to increase enzymatic saccharification rates by up to 50% compared to conventional methods. Additionally, innovative chemical pretreatment approaches such as deep eutectic solvents have demonstrated over 90% sugar recovery from stubble biomass.

Enzyme engineering and optimization: Ongoing research focuses on enzyme engineering and optimization to increase the efficiency of enzymatic hydrolysis. Recent studies have shown a significant improvement in enzyme performance. For example, the use of genetically modified enzymes has been shown to achieve saccharification efficiencies exceeding 90% in shorter reaction times. In addition, enzyme immobilization techniques such as enzyme encapsulation have demonstrated increased stability and reusability, leading to higher overall conversion rates.

Fermentation Process Improvement: Current trends in fermentation aim to improve fermentation kinetics and ethanol production yields. Advances in fermentation technology have led to the development of robust yeast strains with increased ethanol tolerance and fermentation efficiency[29]. For example, the use of genetically modified yeast strains has achieved ethanol concentrations of up to 10% (v/v) in the fermentation broth, leading to higher yields of bioethanol[1].

Co-production of value-added products: The integration of co-production of value-added products alongside bioethanol production is gaining attention[1]. Scientists are investigating the extraction and use of lignin, a byproduct of bioethanol production, for various applications. Recent studies have reported the successful extraction of high quality lignin from stubble biomass with lignin yields ranging from 10% to 30% (w/w). This lignin can be further processed and used as a precursor for biochemical substances, materials and energy production.

Process Integration and Scaling: Efforts are being made to optimize the overall manufacturing process and scale it up for commercial viability. Process integration strategies such as waste heat utilization and energy recovery systems are being explored to improve overall energy efficiency. Studies have shown that the implementation of integrated biorefinery concepts can lead to substantial energy savings, with energy efficiency reaching up to 80%. In addition, techno-economic analyzes have shown the potential for cost-effective bioethanol production with estimated production costs ranging from US\$0.50 to US\$0.80 per liter.

Sustainability Assessment and Life Cycle Analysis: Ongoing research includes comprehensive sustainability assessments and life cycle analyzes to assess the environmental impact and sustainability of stubble fuel production. A life cycle assessment has shown that bioethanol produced from stubble biomass can achieve significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions compared to fossil fuel counterparts[18]. For example, the production of bioethanol from stubble can reduce CO₂ emissions by approximately 60% to 80%.

5. Relevant Studies and Future Prospects

- In a study published in the Journal of Energy and Fuels, researchers investigated the potential of using wheat straw, a common stubble in Europe, to produce bioethanol. They found that a maximum ethanol yield of 85% could be achieved using a combination of steam explosion and enzymatic hydrolysis.
- In China, the government is promoting the use of bioethanol made from corn, straw and other agricultural waste to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and improve energy security. China's National Renewable Energy[16] Center reports that the country's non-cereal bioethanol production reached 1.4 million tons in 2019, with a target of 4 million tons by 2025.
- The Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, says that straw burning in the states of Punjab, Haryana and Uttar Pradesh is responsible for about 20 to 25 percent of Delhi's winter air pollution. The report also estimates that the three states produce about 35 million tons of crop residues annually.
- Open stubble burning is a major environmental problem in India, where an estimated 35 million tons of crop residues are burned annually. However, these wastes can be converted into bioethanol. A study published in the Journal of Renewable Energy shows that if all the stubble produced in Punjab, Haryana and Uttar Pradesh was used to produce bioethanol, up to 10 percent of the country's gasoline consumption could be replaced with gasoline.

- A study published in the Journal of Renewable Energy shows that if all the stubble produced in Punjab, Haryana and Uttar Pradesh was used to produce bioethanol, up to 10 percent of the country's gasoline consumption could be replaced with gasoline. The study estimates that the production of bioethanol from stubble could provide farmers with an additional income of approximately 11,000 crowns (approximately 1.5 billion dollars).
- The Indian government has set a goal of a 20 percent ethanol/gasoline blend by 2030, up from about 8 percent today. To achieve this, the government promotes the use of bioethanol produced from a variety of sources, including sugarcane molasses, rotting grain and agricultural waste such as stubble.
- In 2018, Indian Oil Corporation (IOCL) signed a memorandum of understanding with the Indian Institute of Technology in Delhi to set up a pilot plant to produce bioethanol from plant residues including stubble. The pilot plant will be successfully commissioned in 2020 and is expected to produce about 1,000 liters of bioethanol per day.
- The Government of India has also launched various programs to promote the use of bioethanol produced from agricultural waste[20]. These include the Pradhan Mantri JI-VAN Yojana, which provides financial support to entrepreneurs and farmers for setting up bioethanol projects using agricultural waste as feedstock.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, bioethanol production from stubble holds significant promise as a sustainable and renewable solution to the challenges posed by stubble burning. This review paper provides a comprehensive overview of the various production methods used in the conversion of stubble to fuel, including physical, chemical and biological pretreatment techniques, fermentation, distillation, purification, denaturation and aging steps.

We have highlighted the advantages and limitations of each manufacturing method through an examination of scientific principles, technological advances and process optimization strategies[2]. Physical pretreatment methods, such as steam explosion and milling, have been shown to be effective in breaking down the lignocellulosic structure of stubble, while chemical pretreatment methods, including acids and bases, have shown enhanced enzymatic saccharification[1]. Biological pretreatment methods, especially fungal and bacterial pretreatment, offer great potential for improving the conversion efficiency of lignocellulosic biomass by utilizing the enzymatic capabilities of microorganisms. The integration of these pretreatment techniques with fermentation processes such as simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF) and consolidated bioprocessing (CBP)[2] enables the conversion of simple sugars into bioethanol. Although these production methods have shown promise, there are still challenges to be addressed such as high energy and enzyme costs and the need for further process optimization to improve overall efficiency and economic viability. However, ongoing research efforts focus on enhancing enzyme performance, developing more efficient pretreatment methods, and optimizing fermentation conditions to maximize bioethanol yields.

In addition, the scalability and commercial viability of stubble-fuel technologies are critical factors. The potential of using stubble as a raw material for sustainable fuel production is significant, given the amount of agricultural residues that are generated each year. By converting stubble into bioethanol, we can reduce greenhouse gas emissions, mitigate environmental pollution and contribute to a greener and more sustainable future.

Overall, research and development in stubble-to-fuel technologies is progressing and with continued support from governments, collaboration between researchers, industry stakeholders and policy makers, we can further optimize and expand these processes. The path to a greener future where stubble is turned into a valuable resource for bioethanol production is an important step towards achieving sustainable energy solutions and reducing our dependence on fossil fuels.

7. References

1. Havrysh V, Kalinichenko A, Brzozowska A, Stebila J. Agricultural Residue Management for Sustainable Power Generation: The Poland Case Study. *Applied Sciences*. 2021; 11(13):5907. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11135907>
2. Chen, H. Z., & Liu, Z. H. (2016). Enzymatic hydrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass from low to high solids loading. *Engineering in life sciences*, 17(5), 489–499. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201600102>
3. Department of Food, Agricultural and Biological Engineering, The Ohio State University/Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center, 1680 Madison Ave., Wooster, OH 44691-4096, USA
4. Osilla EV, Marsidi JL, Sharma S. Physiology, Temperature Regulation. [Updated 2022 May 8]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2023 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK507838/>
5. Alexander Herr, Andrew Braid, John Carter, John McIvor, Helen T Murphy, Deborah O'Connell, Nat Raisbeck-Brown, Michael Poole, Cut your grass and eat it too– Is aviation biofuel production and grazing in the Australian tropics possible?, *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 10.1016/j.rser.2015.09.052, 53, (1377-1388), (2016)
6. Bušić, A., Mardetko, N., Kundas, S., Morzak, G., Belskaya, H., Ivančić Šantek, M., Komes, D., Novak, S., & Šantek, B. (2018). Bioethanol Production from Renewable Raw Materials and Its Separation and Purification: A Review. *Food technology and biotechnology*, 56(3), 289–311. <https://doi.org/10.17113/ftb.56.03.18.5546>
7. Ruchala, J., Kurylenko, O. O., Dmytruk, K. V., & Sibirny, A. A. (2020). Construction of advanced producers of first- and second-generation ethanol in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and selected species of non-conventional yeasts (*Scheffersomyces stipitis*, *Ogataea polymorpha*). *Journal of industrial microbiology & biotechnology*, 47(1), 109–132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10295-019-02242-x>
8. Tuong An Tran, T., Kim Phung Le, T., Phong Mai, T., & Quan Nguyen, D. (2020). Bioethanol Production from Lignocellulosic Biomass. *IntechOpen*. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.8643
9. Ziegler-Devin I, Chrusciel L and Brosse N (2021) Steam Explosion Pretreatment of Lignocellulosic Biomass: A Mini-Review of Theoretical and Experimental Approaches . *Front. Chem.* 9:705358. doi: 10.3389/fchem.2021.705358
10. T. Arinda, L.A. Philipp, D. Rehlund, M. Edel, J. Chodorski, M. Stockl, D. Holtmann, R. Ulber, J. Gescher, K. Sturm-Richter Addition of riboflavin-coupled magnetic beads increases current production in bioelectrochemical systems via the increased formation of anode-biofilms *Front. Microbiol.*, 10 (2019), p. 126, 10.3389/fmicb.2019.00126
11. Olofsson, K., Bertilsson, M. & Lidén, G. A short review on SSF – an interesting process option for ethanol production from lignocellulosic feedstocks. *Biotechnol Biofuels* 1, 7 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1754-6834-1-7>
12. Reeta Rani Singhanian, Anil Kumar Patel, Anusuiya Singh, Dibyajyoti Haldar, Shveta Soam, Chiu-Wen Chen, Mei-Ling Tsai, Cheng-Di Dong, Consolidated bioprocessing of lignocellulosic biomass:

- Technological advances and challenges, *Bioresource Technology*, Volume 354, 2022, 127153, ISSN 0960-8524, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2022.127153>.
13. Kumar, A., & Chandra, R. (2020). Ligninolytic enzymes and its mechanisms for degradation of lignocellulosic waste in the environment. *Heliyon*, 6(2), e03170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03170>
 14. Bali, G., Meng, X., Deneff, J. I., Sun, Q., & Ragauskas, A. J. (2015). The effect of alkaline pretreatment methods on cellulose structure and accessibility. *ChemSusChem*, 8(2), 275–279. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cssc.201402752>
 15. Ted Saarikko, Ulrika H. Westergren, Tomas Blomquist, Digital transformation: Five recommendations for the digitally conscious firm, *Business Horizons*, ISSN 0007-6813, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2020.07.005>.
 16. Saini, J.K., Saini, R. & Tewari, L. Lignocellulosic agriculture wastes as biomass feedstocks for second-generation bioethanol production: concepts and recent developments. *3 Biotech* 5, 337–353 (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-014-0246-5>
 17. Mathur S, Waswani H, Singh D, Ranjan R. Alternative Fuels for Agriculture Sustainability: Carbon Footprint and Economic Feasibility. *AgriEngineering*. 2022; 4(4):993-1015. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriengineering4040063>
 18. Pauley M, Maskell D. Mini-Review: The Role of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* in the Production of Gin and Vodka. *Beverages*. 2017; 3(1):13. <https://doi.org/10.3390/beverages3010013>
 19. Irfan, M., Nadeem, M., & Syed, Q. (2014). Ethanol production from agricultural wastes using *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Brazilian journal of microbiology* : [publication of the Brazilian Society for Microbiology], 45(2), 457–465. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s1517-83822014000200012>
 20. Chanana I, Sharma A, Kumar P, Kumar L, Kulshreshtha S, Kumar S, Patel SKS. Combustion and Stubble Burning: A Major Concern for the Environment and Human Health. *Fire*. 2023; 6(2):79. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fire6020079>
 21. Krumova, E., Kostadinova, N., Miteva-Staleva, J., Stoyancheva, G., Spassova, B., Abrashev, R., & Angelova, M. (2018). Potential of ligninolytic enzymatic complex produced by white-rot fungi from genus *Trametes* isolated from Bulgarian forest soil. *Engineering in life sciences*, 18(9), 692–701. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elsc.201800055>
 22. Liping Du, Xinyu Cui, Hongxuan Li, Yaqi Wang, Linlin Fan, Ronglin He, Fengchao Jiang, Aiqun Yu, Dongguang Xiao, Lijuan Ma, Enhancing the enzymatic hydrolysis efficiency of lignocellulose assisted by artificial fusion enzyme of swollenin-xylanase, *Industrial Crops and Products*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indcrop.2021.114106>
 23. Porichha GK, Hu Y, Rao KTV, Xu CC. Crop Residue Management in India: Stubble Burning vs. Other Utilizations including Bioenergy. *Energies*. 2021; 14(14):4281. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14144281>
 24. Bolmanis E, Dubencovs K, Suleiko A, Vanags J. Model Predictive Control—A Stand Out among Competitors for Fed-Batch Fermentation Improvement. *Fermentation*. 2023; 9(3):206. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation9030206>
 25. Elijah I. Ohimain, Emerging bio-ethanol projects in Nigeria: Their opportunities and challenges, *Energy Policy*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2010.07.038>
 26. Esmaeili, Hossein and Keikhosro, Karimi. "Optimization of fermentation conditions for efficient ethanol production by *Mucor hiemalis*" *Turkish Journal of Biochemistry*, vol. 43, no. 6, 2018, pp587-594. <https://doi.org/10.1515/tjb-2017-0290>

27. Siti Hajar Mohd Azhar, Rahmath Abdulla, Siti Azmah Jambo, Hartinie Marbawi, Jualang Azlan Gansau, Ainol Azida Mohd Faiz, Kenneth Francis Rodrigues, Yeasts in sustainable bioethanol production: review, *Biochemistry and Biophysics Reports*, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrep.2017.03.003>
28. Estela, R., & Luis, J. (2013). Hydrolysis of Biomass Mediated by Cellulases for the Production of Sugars. *InTech*. doi: 10.5772/53719
29. Chanana I, Sharma A, Kumar P, Kumar L, Kulshreshtha S, Kumar S, Patel SKS. Combustion and Stubble Burning: A Major Concern for the Environment and Human Health. *Fire*. 2023; 6(2):79.