

# Identifying Fake Users by Utilization of Social Schedule for Intelligent Parenting System

**Dr. Yachana Bhawsar**

Govt. Women's Polytechnic College, Bhopal

**Abstract-** Now-a-days Social media is the popular way to watch, comment, share and express feeling about any digital contents. Every user has some schedule to perform such kind of activities. In this paper the social schedule is used to identify fake users by predicting digital content actions. Identifying and filtering fake user is an important task because it will give the real review of the digital content to the concerned user. In this paper interpersonal similarity and schedule similarity are used to identify fake users. This is the novel technique for identification and removal of fake user. It gives the best prediction about the digital contents. In present scenario parents are going to buy lots of gadgets or online courses for their children for online studies. Everything is available online and everyone reads the review before purchasing the products. There may be fake users to misguide and provide fake reviews. If one can identify and remove fake users first then go to the final purchasing then it will be beneficial to both the parents and children.

**Index Terms-** social network, data mining, social schedule, interpersonal similarity

## I. INTRODUCTION

Content that exists in the form of digital data is called digital content. Now-a-days there is huge amount of digital content. Video, audio, images, visual stories and text can be found as a digital contents. Some contents like video and audio may be fake or paid. They are used for promoting or advertising some products. But some contents like news and forum may not be purchased. There are lots of actions generated in digital contents. Some times user have to give review, comment, like or dislike to a particular product. These are examples of actions which can be taken on digital contents. So as discussed, the content may be purchased or it can not be purchased, so the actions may also be real or fake. Some fake users are there to promote or ranking digital contents. The task is to find out these fake users. Now-a-days everything is going online, so as the study. Parents want to give extra

skills to their children. Everyone read and watch review of the skill providing agency or app. If there are fake reviews then it will be very difficult to take an appropriate decision. The main aim of this paper to identify these fake users. For this interpersonal similarity is calculated between two users. It is the distance between two users. The more the distance, more dissimilar the users. After calculating this measure, it will be very easy to identify the fake users.

## II. RELATED WORK

The [7] paper investigates one's likely source of mistake in the rating procedure on cell phones which has not been viewed to such an extent yet. The impact of info strategies on the subsequent appraisals. This particular situation is a recommender framework on a cell phone (cell phone). Portable applications offer distinctive info choices for connection including touchscreen and freestyle motions. Touchscreen signals enable clients to tap on the screen, either utilizing on-screen catches or other interface components, e.g. sliders. Freestyle motions don't require the client to effectively touch the screen however to move the gadgets to start capacities. In previous work, connection techniques are preferred from a client's point of view for certain recommender framework assignments [6].

In [6] the goal is for mapping basic recommender framework strategies -, for example, rating a thing to sensible motion and movement communication designs. This work gave at least two distinctive information strategies for every application work (e.g. rating a thing). Along these lines, this work could think about UI choices. This work directed a client concentrate to discover which connection designs are favored by clients when given the decision. Our examination demonstrated that clients favored less convoluted, less demanding to deal with motions over more intricate ones.

In [8] an idea is proposed for the rating timetable to speak to client every day rating conduct. This work use the likeness between client rating calendars to

speak to relational rating conduct closeness. While work meld four elements, individual intrigue, relational intrigue comparability, relational rating conduct similitude, and relational rating conduct dissemination, into grid factorization with completely investigating client rating practices to anticipate client benefit appraisals. This work propose to specifically combine relational components to oblige client's inactive elements, which can decrease the time many-sided quality of our model.

In [9], characterizes false notoriety as the issue of a notoriety being controlled by out of line appraisals. For this reason, this work propose TRUE-REPUTATION, a calculation that iteratively changes a notoriety in view of the certainty of client appraisals. The proposed system, then again, utilizes all evaluations. It assesses the level of dependability (certainty) of each evaluating and modifies the notoriety in view of the certainty of appraisals. The calculation that iteratively changes a notoriety in view of the certainty of client evaluations. By changing a notoriety in light of the certainty scores of all evaluations, the proposed calculation computes the notoriety without the danger of overlooking appraisals by ordinary clients while lessening the impact of out of line evaluations by abusers. This calculation takes care of the false notoriety issue by processing the genuine notoriety, TRUE-REPUTATION.

### III. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Whole work is divided into two model first is filtering of fake users from the dataset. Here those users who are highly frequent and have interest level which are quite larger than the normal. The primary data is user actions. User actions are encountering the number or counts of user's activity. Second model study the action behaviors of the true user from the dataset, this part was inspired by [8], here social dataset is consider where interpersonal behavior is calculate, than finally trust between the user is evaluated .

#### A. Product Rating Dataset

In this dataset item rating component is available. This can be realized as client U1 has either utilize or have learning or its review for any item id P1 then rate it on the premise of his thought, for example, {best, great, better, great, ok}.

#### B. Pre-Processing

As dataset contain number of rating amongst client and item so transformation of dataset according to workplace is done in this progression here dataset is orchestrate into network frame where first

section speak to client id second speak to item id while third for rating. For giving rate as opposed to giving any content rate values are utilize for each class. In the event that zero present in the section then it demonstrates that item is not use by the determining client ids.

#### C. Activity

The client who gets more active shows a more elevated amount of action. The above portrayal of movement suggests that the action is characterized by the measure of collaborations between a data provider and the clients acquiring his data. There exist, be that as it may, no associations between clients in a web-based rating framework; rather, there are activities by clients on media. Consequently, this paper measure client action in a web based digital content framework in light of the measure of activities by the client on contents (i.e., the number of contents client takes action). The activity score of user u, denoted by  $a_u$ , is quantified by the frequency of his action  $|R_u|$ . Where  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$  are constants distribute  $|R_u|$  evenly in the range of  $[0, 1]$ .

$$a_u = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\alpha(|R_u| - \mu)}}$$

#### D. Interest Level

The Interest level of a client is characterized as the deviation of the user towards particular kind of digital content class. As user have some set of interest in different kind of digital content. Considering this fact, it is obtained that proposed work will evaluate interest level from user personal interest data. So, summation of personal interest values from all class is divide by the total number of classes. Let ith user U, while N is number of class c from personal interest data. Here interest level IL for ith user is calculate by below formula

$$IL_i = \frac{\sum_{c=1}^N U_{i,c}}{N}$$

#### E. Filter Fake User

Now those users who's false\_reputation score is higher than the threshold value is consider as the false or fake user. While those users who's false\_reputation score is lower is consider as the true user. So, calculation of false\_reoutation is done as:

$$\text{False\_reputation} = a_u * IL_u$$

So, person who is highly active and have high interest level is consider as the fake user.

### F. User Social Dataset

In this dataset client-client connections is available. This can be comprehended as client U1 has some connection with U2 as far as {Like, remark, share picture, same gathering, basic companions, video visit, content talk, share video, message, share remark, companion ask for, etc.}, at that point number of times these actions done by the client is tally in the dataset for U2 by U1 is store.

### G. InterPersonal Similarity

Interpersonal interest similarity  $W_{u,v}$ , and user personal interest  $Q_u$ , proposed in previous work [10], [11] where  $u, v$  are users and  $I$  is  $i$ th item. Here distance is evaluated by Euclidian distance formula, by passing interest level of those users.

$$W_{u,v} = \sqrt{(I_{L_u} - I_{L_v})^2}$$

Schedule Similarity

Action behavior matrix  $B_u = [B_{p,d}^u]_{X \times Y}$ , which represents user  $u$ 's action behavior, where  $B_{p,d}$  denotes the behavior count that user  $u$  has done in  $p$  part (morning, evening, afternoon, night) of the day [8].

$$E_{u,v} = \sqrt{\sum_{r=1}^x \sum_{d=1}^y (B_{p,d}^u - B_{p,d}^v)}$$

where  $E_{u,v}$  denotes the action behavior similarity between user  $u$  and his/her friend  $v$ . The basic idea of schedule similarity is that user  $u$ 's action schedule should be similar to his/her friend  $v$  to some extent.

### H. User Trust

The diffusion matrix  $D$  of user action behavior by combining the scope of user's social network and the temporal information of rating behaviors. For a user, work split his/her social network into three components, direct friends, mutual friends, and the indirect friends. The more mutual friends they have, the closer they are. Thus, work leverage the weight  $|\text{Friends}_{u \cap v}| / |\text{Circle}_u|$  as a coefficient of interpersonal rating behavior diffusions, where  $|\text{Friends}_{u \cap v}|$  denotes the number of mutual friends between  $u$  and  $v$ ,  $|\text{Circle}_u|$  means the aggregate number of client  $u$ 's immediate friends and unconnected friends. Furthermore, work consider that the more items user and his/her friends both have rated, the smoother the dispersion of relational rating practices.

### I. Prediction

In this final step values obtained from Interpersonal similarity  $W$ , user trust  $D$ , behavior similarity  $E$  are used. Here as per the user content action other user

action can be predicted by crossing the all values using below formula:

$$P = W_u, v * D_u, v * E_u, v * P_{Iv,c}$$

In above formula  $u$  user have taken action on media content of class  $c$  while weight of interpersonal similarity between  $u, v$  is multiplied with user trust between  $u, v$  and resultant is multiple with the personal interest of class  $c$  of user  $v$ . So,  $P$  crossing a threshold value is consider as the action to be perform by user  $v$  as per user action perform by user  $u$ .

## IV. CONCLUSION

It has been observed that the proposed methodology, predicts fake user very well. There is a proper calculation of similarity measures between the actions taken by the users. The fake user can be identified by their actions. Lots of research work is done in past in this direction but after combining different matrices it can be said that the proposed methodology is unique and more accurate than previous approaches. This approach is applied to identify fake users in recommending online study material or app for parents, but in future it can be tested for other purpose also.

## REFERENCES

- [1]. G. Adomavicius and A. Tuzhilin, "Toward the next generation of recommender systems: a survey of the state-of-the-art and possible extensions," IEEE Trans. Knowledge and Data Engineering, vol. 17, no. 6, pp. 734-749, 2005.
- [2]. P. Bedi, H. Kaur, and S. Marwaha, "Trust based recommender system for semantic web," in IJCAI'07, pp. 2677-2682, 2007.
- [3]. X. Yang, T. Zhang, and C. Xu, "Cross-Domain Feature Learning in Multimedia," IEEE Trans. Multimedia, vol. 17, no. 1, pp.64-78, 2015.
- [4]. X. Lei, and X. Qian, "Rating prediction via exploring service reputation," 2015 IEEE 17th International Workshop on Multimedia.
- [5]. Nguyen, T.T., Kluver, D., Wang, T.Y., Hui, P.M., Ekstrand, M.D., Willemsen, M.C., And Riedl, J. 2013. Rating Support Interfaces To Improve User Experience And Recommender Accuracy. In Proc. Of The 7th Acm Conference On Recommender Systems, Hong Kong, China, Acm.
- [6]. Woerndl, W., Weicker, J., Lamche,. "Selecting Gestural User Interaction Patterns For Recommender Applications On Smart phones". In Proc. Decisions @ Recsys Workshop, 7th Acm Conference On Recommender Systems, Hong Kong, China, Acm 2013
- [7]. Shabnam Najafian, Wolfgang Wörndl, Beatrice Lamche "Investigation Of User Rating Behavior Depending On Interaction Methods On Smartphones". Inrs, October 6, 2014, Silicon Valley, Ca Usa 2014.
- [8]. Guoshuai Zhao, Xueming Qian, Member, Ieee, And Xing Xie. "User-Service Rating Prediction By Exploring Social Users' Rating Behaviors". Ieee Transactions On Multimedia, Vol. 18, No. 3, March 2016.
- [9]. Hyunkyo Oh, Sang-Wook Kim Sunju Park, And Ming Zhou. "Can You Trust Online Ratings? A Mutual Reinforcement Model For Trustworthy Online Rating Systems". IEEE Transactions On Systems, Man, And Cybernetics: Systems ,Year 2015
- [10]. X. Qian, H. Feng, G. Zhao, And T. Mei, "Personalized Recommendation Combining User Interest And Social Circle,"

Ieee Trans. Knowl. Data Eng.,Vol. 26, No. 7, Pp. 1763–1777,  
Jul. 2014.  
[11]. H. Feng And X. Qian, “Recommendation Via User’s  
Personality And Social Contextual,” In Proc. Cikm, 2013, Pp.  
1521–1524

AUTHORS

**First Author** – Dr. Yachana Bhawsar, Ph.D.,  
Government Women’s Polytechnic College,  
Bhopal(M.P.), yachanabhawsar@gmail.com.